Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and risk factors-with reference to gender, socioeconomic status and educational levels: A hospital based study

Shah Mohammad Abbas Waseem^{1,*}, VK Srivastava², Rubeena Bano³

¹Assistant Professor, ²Associate Professor, ³Professor, Dept. of Physiology, IIMS & R, Integral University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

*Corresponding Author:

Email: abbas14waseem5@gmail.com

Abstract

Introduction: COPD is projected to rank the third leading cause of deaths globally by 2030. Backwardness and poor socioeconomic status as well as educational status play a role in pathophysiology of COPD. Gender based differences occur due to interaction of sex-dependant genetic factors and also due to socio-cultural differences. Thus, present study was undertaken to study the role of socioeconomic status, gender and educational level in the subjects with COPD.

Materials and Method: The present study was cross sectional study. It included 150 COPD cases and 80 healthy non-smoker controls. Age, gender, education and socioeconomic status of subjects was enquired. Subjects were divided in various education and socioeconomic classes using modified Kuppuswamy scale. Data was analyzed using appropriate statistical tests.

Result: The mean age of the subjects in control group (n=80) was 38.36±13.24 years. Mean age of male COPD patients was 45.28±14.05 and that of female COPD patients was 41.12± 6.78 years. In controls, fifty six (70%) were males and twenty four (30%) were females. In COPD group (n=150), ninety eight were males (65.34%) and fifty two were females (34.66%). Overall, higher percentage (73.34%) of COPD cases belonged to middle, lower middle and lower class. Out of 150 COPD cases, 20(13.34%) and 19(12.66%) belonged to professional and graduate educational level. Thus, more number of COPD cases belonged to lower educational status. Amongst COPD cases the percentage of people residing in urban areas was found to be more i.e. 96(64%).

Conclusion: The present study identifies male gender, urban residence, low education and low socioeconomic status as risk factors in COPD.

Keywords: Demographic profile, Chronic Airway Disease, Social Class, Education, Residential Locality, Risk Factors in COPD

Received: 7th June, 2017 Accepted: 8th August, 2017

Introduction

As per the WHO, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) carries its own negatively high importance in matters of public health, needing our immediate attention. Verily, COPD is a life threatening disease, which causes obstruction in normal breathing initially. It is projected that globally by 2030 COPD would be the third leading cause of deaths. (1) Data reveal that the incidence of the disease has been ruling high over the urban as well as the rural males of the country during the years 2011 to 2016. The existing evidence is indicative of the fact that among other factors, poor socioeconomic status constitutes a risk factor, contributing to the development of COPD. (2) Though, the role of this factor is not clearly specified, yet this pattern apparently reflects exposure to various causative factors including indoor air pollution, crowding, poor nutrition and/or other factors, related to a low socioeconomic status. (3,4) Most probably, the socioeconomic risk factors are multi-factorial that may cover the entire range from intra-uterine exposure, to childhood infections and childhood environment, diet and to occupational factors. (5-11) Genetic predisposition and airway infections also contribute to accelerated lung function decline. (12-13) Gender-based differences in Obstructive Airway Diseases occur due to the

interaction of sex-dependent genetic factors and also due to socio-cultural differences. (14-16) The socioeconomic status also influence the outcomes in COPD, but there are studies which have proven otherwise. (17) The disease is associated with poor prognosis in low and middle income population. (18) Also, there are contrasting results as far as the role of gender and education is concerned. Studies have shown that the above two parameters influence the quality of life in COPD whereas others have found no association. (19) Thus, present study was undertaken to study the role of socioeconomic status, gender and educational level in the subjects with COPD.

Materials and Method

The present study was cross sectional study. The patients diagnosed with COPD(n=150) were selected from TB and Chest Disease Out-Patients Department (OPD) of IIMS&R, Integral University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. The period of study was from May 2016-April 2017. Healthy subjects, serving as controls (n=80) were selected from the Institution. The ethics clearance was obtained. Written and informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. Subjects who were willing to participate were included. Subjects suffering from any other chronic disease apart from COPD and pregnant

females were excluded from the study. The subjects were asked about smoking history, socio economic status, education and place of residence (rural and urban). Subjects were divided into various education and socio economic class on the basis of revised Kuppuswamy scale. (20)

Statistical Analysis was done, using the statistical package for social science (SPSS 21.0) for Window's. Chi Square test was used for non-parametric data. Risk factors were taken as independent variables and COPD as dependent variable. Regression Analysis was done to find the role of gender, education and social class in COPD. Odds Ratio and Confidence Interval 95% were calculated. p value of less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of the subjects in Control group was 38.36±13.24 years. Mean age of male COPD patients was 45.28±14.05 and that of female COPD patients was 41.12± 6.78 years. In controls, fifty six (70%) were males and twenty four (30%) were females. In COPD group, ninety eight were males (65.34%) and fifty two were females (34.66%). Overall, higher percentage (73.34%) of COPD cases belonged to middle, lower middle and lower Class Out of 150 COPD cases only 20(13.34%) and 19(12.66%) belonged to professional and graduate educational level. Thus, more number of COPD cases belonged to lower educational status. Amongst COPD cases the percentage of people residing in urban areas was found to be more i.e. 96(64%) [Table 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5].

Table 1: Age, gender and residential status of control (80) and COPD (150) cases (number and percent)

		COPD Cases			
	Control(80) n (%)	Mild(40) n (%)	Moderate(53) n (%)	Severe(30) n (%)	Very Severe(27)
Pack Years		12.59±2.96	22.34±5.48	27.89±6.58	n (%) 30.28±7.54
Age(years)*		12.39±2.90	22.34±3.46	27.89±0.38	30.26±7.34
20-30	23(28.75)	7(17.50)	11(20.75)	8(26.66)	3(11.11)
31-40	24(30)	19(47.5)	14(26.42)	5(16.67)	5(18.51)
41-50	21(26.25)	8(20)	8(15.09)	7(23.34)	4(14.82)
51-60	6(7.5)	6(15)	14(26.42)	8(26.66)	9(33.34)
61-70	4(5)	-	3(5.66)	2(6.67)	4(14.82)
>71	2(2.5)	-	3(5.66)	-	2(7.40)
Gender					
Male	56(70)	30(75)	31(58.49)	19(63.34)	18(66.67)
Female	24(30)	10(25)	22(41.51)	11(36.66)	9(33.33)
Residential Area	a		· · · · · ·		
Rural	48(60)	12(30)	19(35.85)	13(43.34)	10(37.03)
Urban	32(40)	28(70)	34(64.15)	17(56.66)	17(62.97)

Mean age of male COPD patients was 45.28 ± 14.05 and that of female COPD patients was 41.12 ± 6.78 years. Overall 96(64%) COPD patients belonged to urban areas. *Chi Square for age (years) was significant at p=0.032(value 12.215, df 5)

Table 2: Gender wise distribution in controls and

	Gender		
	Males	Females	
Control(n=80)	56(70%)	24(30%)	
COPD	98(65.34%)	52(34.66%)	
Cases(n=150)			

Chi Square shows p=0.474(value 514, df 1)

Table 3: Socioeconomic status of control and COPD

cases					
Social Class	Control (n=80)	COPD Cases (n=150)			
	n (%)	n (%)			
Upper Class	14(17.50)	16(10.67)			
Upper Middle	19(23.75)	24(16)			
Middle	24(30)	50(33.33)			
Lower Middle	12(15)	31(20.67)			
Lower	11(13.75)	29(19.33)			

Overall 110(73.34%) COPD patients belonged to middle, lower middle and lower class. Chi Square show p=0.234(value 5.555, df 4).

Table 4: Educational status of control and COPD cases (number and %)

Education	Control (n=80)	COPD Cases (n=150)	
	n (%)	n(%)	
Professional	11(13.75)	20(13.34)	
Graduate	16(20)	19(12.66)	
Intermediate	14(17.5)	32(21.34)	
High school	8(10)	30(20)	
Middle school	7(8.75)	14(9.34)	
Primary School	11(13.75)	16(10.66)	
Illiterate	13(16.25)	19(12.66)	

Chi Square shows p=0.369(value 6.505, df 6)

Table 5: Level of significance of various risk factors in COPD (*p<0.05)

Table 3. Level of significance of various fisk factors in COLD (p. 0.05)				
Factor	Odds Ratio	p value		
	(Confidence Interval			
	95%)			
Gender(male)*	1.325 (1.002-1.753)	0.037*		
Residential Area (urban)*	0.375(0.215-0.655)	0.001*		
Educational status				
Graduate/Postgraduate	1.244(0.449-3.447)	0.675		
Intermediate/Post High School Diploma	0.813(0.308-2.142)	0.675		
High School Certificate	1.564(0.608-4.022)	0.353		
Middle School Certificate	2.566(0.896-7.344)	0.079		
Primary School Certificate	1.368(0.434-4.319)	0.593		
Illiterate	0.995(0.351-2.823)	0.993		
Socioeconomic				
Lower Middle	0.790(0.339-1.845)	0.586		
Lower	0.980(0.374-2.565)	0.967		

Regression Analysis

Discussion

It is inferred from this study that from amongst the COPD group, percentage of males was more than that of females. The reported prevalence of COPD is higher among men than in women. (21-23) Gender (male) and increasing age are identified as risk factors in most of the studies, conducted in Asia. (24,25) In India, prevalence rates, varying between about 2-22% in men and between 1.2-19% in women, have been shown by different reports. (26) In our study 34.66% of patients with COPD were female. This may reflect the trend of increasing prevalence of COPD in females as reported in recent years. (27) Studies have shown lower prevalence of COPD in females, as compared to males. (28) In a 10year cumulative study, increasing age, smoking and bronchitis symptoms, but not gender, have been reported as risk factors for COPD. (29) In our study we found that with severity of disease, pack years were also increasing. Smoking is a major risk factor for COPD. (30) COPD can be attributed to greater prevalence of smoking among men, as well as cumulative effects of smoking, and other exposures with advancing age. Interestingly, FEV1% deterioration per year is more in female current smokers, as compared to males. (31) Studies have also shown that adverse effects of smoking on respiratory system of females is more than males. (32) Recent reports have suggested that estrogen

and leptin increase the risk of COPD in females by causing fibrosis and airway inflammation respectively. In the present study male gender was identified as risk for COPD. The results obtained in our study can be explained on the basis that mean age of female patients was less than male patients. In young females the alveoli are protected and regenerated under the influence of estrogen and progesterone. (33) However, the association between smoking, gender and decline in lung function is complex and require detailed research. (34)

This present study revealed a higher percentage of COPD cases in those, who were residing in urban areas. The results can be attributed to presence of stressors like smoking. Moreover the risk of COPD in urban areas is enhanced owing to environmental pollution. (35) Authors are also of the view that, improvement in rural health care facilities may partly be responsible for the trend, appearing in the present study. Since the sample size is small, the results may not be generalized. Therefore, to find out conclusive evidence, a multicentric study with a larger sample size is sine qua non. Another promising area of research seems to be the reported rural urban divide in the prevalence of chronic bronchitis. (36) Nevertheless; it appears promising that COPD has been accorded priority as it has been included in rural and urban health missions' non

communicable disease list.(37)

In this Study, an overall higher percentage of COPD cases belonged to middle, lower middle and lower class. A low socioeconomic status has been an important factor in several epidemiological studies. (38) Low socioeconomic status has been listed as a risk factor for development of COPD. (39-41) Jindal S. K et al, 2006, reported in a study that 32.3 per cent belonged to Low, 58.8 per cent to middle and 8.9 per cent to high socioeconomic group. (42) The higher percentage of COPD cases in persons of a low socioeconomic status may be attributed to use of biomass fuel. Link between biomass fuel, occupational pollution, socioeconomic status and COPD have been observed in several studies. (43) Van Gemret F et al, 2016, found association between COPD and socioeconomic factors. The above study also identified marital status and use of biomass fuel as associated factors in male COPD patients. In females history of smoking was identified as associated factor with COPD. (44) Thus, identification of specific factors related with socioeconomic status and gender of COPD patients will yield informative and useful data.

In the present Study, the percentage of COPD subjects with lower education levels was higher. The higher percentage may be attributed to low level of awareness about the hazards of smoking, poor personal hygiene and lack of awareness about health issues. Studies have suggested positive association of prevalence of COPD and low level of education. (45) Others have suggested that low education level is a risk factor for COPD, independent of smoking status. (46) The percentage of subjects with COPD was higher in low education and socioeconomic class but the results were statistically insignificant. This may be attributed to less sample size in our study. Studies with larger sample size have shown significant association of low education and low socioeconomic status with COPD. (47)

The Hospital in which the present study was conducted is located in the area which is surrounded by villages and is few kilometers away from main city. Thus, it is expected that majority of patient load on a given day will be from the surrounding areas. This is also a bias in the present study.

Interestingly, number of chronic and inflammatory diseases may result from stressors, related with socioeconomic status, which in turn leads to changes at molecular levels. Thus, the assessment of inflammatory bio-markers in various socioeconomic classes and their association with COPD will yield informative data.

Conclusion and Limitation

In conclusion, it may be said that the gender, socioeconomic status and education are risk factors in COPD. The identification of risk factors will help the health providers and community level health workers to impart better health care facilities and counseling. The

Government also needs to look into this aspect and introduce widespread awareness programs. Identification of "At Risk" population is required. Since the sample size is small thus results can't be generalized. Further studies, if done on a larger scale and at multi-centric level will yield more information. Detail history of smoking and exposure to pollution (both indoor and outdoor) will yield conclusive data.

Acknowledgements

Authors wish to acknowledge all the participants in the present study and wish them a health life.

References

- WHO site http:// www.who.int world bank / WHO global burden of disease study.
- 2. Barnes PJ. Gold 2017: a new report. Chest. 2017;151(2):245-246.
- Gershon AS, Dolmage TE, Stephenson A and Jackson B. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and socioeconomic status: a systematic review.COPD.2012;9(3):216-26.
- Helala LA, El Maraghy AA and EL Bastawesy AA. Review of chronic obstructive airway disease patients admitted at Maamoura Chest Hospital from 2009 to 2012. Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis. 2016;65(1):49-61.
- Stocks J and Sonnappa S. Early life influences on the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Therapeutic Advances in Respiratory Disease.2013;7(3):161-173.
- Drummond MB, Buist AS, Crapo JD, Wise RA and Rennard SI. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: NHLBI Workshop on the Primary Prevention on Chronic Lung Diseases. Ann Am Thoracic Society. 2014;11(3):S154-S160.
- Hsieh MJ, Yang TM and Tsai YH. Nutritional supplementation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association.2016;115(8):595-601.
- Kraïm-Leleu M, Lesage F-X, Drame M, Lebargy F, Deschamps F. Occupational Risk Factors for COPD: A Case-Control Study. Di YP, ed. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(8):e0158719. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158719.
- Hanson C, Rutten EP, Wouters EF, Rennard S. Influence of diet and obesity on COPD development and outcomes. International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2014;9:723-733. doi:10.2147/COPD.S50111.
- Zondervan KT, Ocke MC, Smit HA et al. Do dietary and supplementary intakes of antioxidants differ with smoking status? International Journal of Epidemiology. 1996;25:70-9.
- Halvani GH, Zare M, Halvani Abolhasan and Barkhordari Abolfazl. Evaluation and Comparison of Respiratory Symptoms and Lung Capacities in Tile and Ceramic Factory Workers of Yazd. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol. 2008;59:197-204.
- Molfino NA. Genetic predisposition to accelerated decline of lung function in COPD. International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2007;2(2):117-119.
- O'donnell R, Breen D, Wilson S and Djukanovic R. Inflammatory cells in the airways in COPD. Thorax. 2006;61(5):448-54.
- Kauffmann F and Becklalke MR. Sex and gender. Respiratory epidemiology in Europe. Eur Respir Mon.

- 2000;5:288-304.
- Blanc PD. The role of household exposures in lung disease among women. Eur Respir Mon. 2003;8:118-130.
- Wai Y, Tarlo SM. Occupational lung disease in women. Respiratory diseases in women. Eur Respir Mon. 2003;8:131-145.
- Pandolfi P , Zanasi A, Musti MA, Stivanello E, Pisani L, Angelini S, Maffei F, Hrelia S, Angeloni C, Zenesini C, and Hrelia P. Socio-Economic and Clinical Factors as Predictors of Disease Evolution and Acute Events in COPD Patients. PLOS One.2015; https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135116.
- Rajkumar P, Pattabi K, Vadivoo S, Bhome A,Brashier B, Bhattacharaya P and Mehendale SM. A cross-sectional study on prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in India: rationale and methods. British Medical Journal Open 2017; 7: e015211. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015211.
- Ahmed MS, Neyaz A, and Aslami AN. Health-related quality of life of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: Results from a community based cross-sectional study in Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh, India. Lung India. 2016;33(2):148-153.
- Shaikh Z, Pathak R. Revised Kuppuswamy and B G Prasad socio-economic scales for 2016. International Journal of Community Med Public Health 2017;4:997-9.
- Sinha B, Vibha, Singla R, Chowdhury R. An epidemiological profile of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A community-based study in Delhi.2017;63(1):29-35.
- Afonso ASM, Verhamme KMC, Sturkenboom Miriam CJM and Brusselle Guy GO. COPD in the general population: Prevalence, incidence and survival. Respiratory Medicine.2011; 105(12):1872-1884.
- Camp PG, O'Donnell DE, and Postma DS. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in Men and Women: Myths and Reality. Proceedings of the American Thoracic Society. 2009;6(6):535-538.
- Kim DS, Kim YS, Jung KS, Chang JH, Lim CM, Lee JH et al. Prevalence of COPD in Korea: a population based spirometry survey. American Journal of Respir Crit Care Med. 2005; 172:842-7.
- Michelle A. Murray and Sanjay H. Chotirmall, "The Impact of Immunosenescence on Pulmonary Disease," Mediators of Inflammation, vol. 2015, Article ID 692546, 10 pages, 2015. doi:10.1155/2015/692546.
- Koul Parvaiz A. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Indian guidelines and the road ahead. Lung India.2013;30(3):175-177.
- 27. Nakken N, Janssen DJA, Van Vliet M, de Vries GJ, Clappers-Gielen G AL, Michels AJ, Muris JWM, Vercoulen JH, Wouters EFM, and Spruit MA. Gender differences in partners of patients with COPD and their perceptions about the patients. International Journal of Chronic Obstructuctive Pulmonary Disease. 2017;12:95– 104
- Masuda S, Omori H, Onoue A, Lu X, Kubota K, Higashi N, Ogata Y and Katoh T. Comorbidities according to airflow limitation severity: data from comprehensive health examination in Japan. Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine. 2017; 22:13. DOI: 10.1186/s12199-017-0620-0.
- Lindberg A, Jonsson AC, Ronmark E, Lundgren R, Larsson LG, Lundback B. Ten year cumulative incidence of COPD and risk factors for incident disease in a symptomatic cohort. Chest. 2005;127(5):1544-52.
- 30. Mahmood T, Singh RK, Kant S, Shukla AD, Chandra A,

- Srivastava RK. Prevalence and etiological profile of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in nonsmokers. Lung India 2017;34:122-6.
- Han MK, Postma D, Mannino DM, Giardino ND, Buist S, Curtis JL and Martinez FJ. Gender and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. American Journal of Respir Crit Care Med.2007;176:1179-1184.
- 32. Liu Y, Pleasants RA, Croft JB, Wheaton AG, Heidari K, Malarcher AM, Ohar JA, Kraft M, Mannino DM and Strange C. Smoking duration, respiratory symptoms, and COPD in adults aged ≥45 years with a smoking history. International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2015;10:1409-1416. doi:10.2147/COPD.S82259.
- Antuni JD and Barnes PJ. Evaluation of Individuals at Risk of COPD: Beyond the Scope of the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2016;3(3):653-667.
- Sørheim IC, Johannessen A, Gulsvik A, Bakke PS, Silverman EK and DeMeo DL. Gender Differences in COPD: are women more susceptible to smoking effects than men? Thorax. 2010;65:480-485.
- 35. Nacul LA, Soljak M and Meade T. Model for Estimating the Population Prevalence of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Cross Sectional Data from the Health Survey for England. Population Health Metr. 2007;5:8.
- Sharma V, Gupta RK, Jamwal DS, Raina SK, Langer B and Kumari R. Prevalence of chronic respiratory disorders in a rural area of North West India: A Population Based Study. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2016;5(2):416-419.
- Bhome AB.COPD in India: Iceberg or Volcano? Journal of Thoracic Disease. 2012;4(3):298-309.
- Vegi G, Scognamiglio A, Baldacci S, Pistelli F, Carrozzi L. Epediomology of COPD. Respiration. 2001;68:4-19.
- Tan WC. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: epidemiology. In: Ip M, Chan Yeung M, Lam WK, Zhong NS, editors. Respiratory medicine - an Asian perspective. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press; 2005 p. 61-72.
- Jindal SK, Gupta D, Singh AJ. Indices of morbidity and control of asthma in patients exposed to environmental tobacco smoke. Chest. 1994;106:746-9.
- Prescott E, Vestbo J. Socioeconomic status and COPD. Thorax. 1999;54:737-741.
- 42. Jindal SK, Aggarwal AN, Chaudhry K., Chhabra SK, D'Souza GA, Gupta D, Katiyar SK, Kumar R, Shah B and Vijayan VK. A multicentric study on epidemiology of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its relationship with tobacco smoking and environmental tobacco smoke exposure. Indian Journal of Chest Dis. Allied Sci. 2006, 48, 23-29.
- 43. Lee SJ, Kim SW, Kong KA, Ryu YJ, Lee JH and Chang JH. Risk factors for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among never-smokers in Korea. International Journal of COPD. 2015;10:497-506.
- 44. Van Gemert F, Chavannes N, Kirenga B, Jones R, Williams S, Tsiligianni I, Vonk J, Kocks J, de Jong C, van der Molen T. Socio-economic factors, gender and smoking as determinants of COPD in a low-income country of sub-Saharan Africa: FRESH AIR Uganda. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med. 2016 Sep 1; 26:16050. doi: 10.1038/npjpcrm.2016.50.
- Kanervisto M, Vasankari T, Laitinen T, Heliovaara M, Jousilahti P, Saarelainen S. Low socioeconomic status is associated with chronic obstructive airway diseases. Respir Med. 2011;105(8):1140–1146.

- 46. Yin P, Zhang M, Li Y, Jiang Y and Zhao W. Prevalence of COPD and its association with socioeconomic status in China: Findings from China Chronic Disease Risk Factor Surveillance 2007. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11:586.
- 47. Grigsby M, Siddharthan T, Chowdhury Muhammad AH, Ali S, Rubinstein A, Sobrino E, Miranda JJ, Ortiz AB, Alam D and Checkley W. Socioeconomic status and COPD among low-and middle- income countries. International Journal of COPD.2016;11:2497-2507.