# Morphometric study of nutrient foramina of humerus in North Indian population

Arvind Kumar Pankaj<sup>1,\*</sup>, Rakesh Kumar Verma<sup>2</sup>, Archana Rani<sup>3</sup>, Anita Rani<sup>4</sup>, Navneet Kumar<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>3,4,5</sup>Professor, Dept. of Anatomy, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

# \*Corresponding Author:

Email: drarvindpankajcsmmu@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Knowledge of location and relevant anatomy of nutrient foramina is important in surgical procedures to preserve circulation. Non- union of fracture shaft of humerus one common complication and a great challenge to surgeons. Nutrient artery along with others play important role in treatment and healing of such problems. Therefore precise location and morphometry of nutrient foramina of humerus should be known. The role of nutrient artery in healing of fractures is well known. It is also important in medico-legal practices. Three hundred fifty human humerii were studied to determine the number, size, direction, site and location of nutrient foramina.

**Materials and Method:** The present study was conducted on 350 dried adult humerii of both sexes of North Indian origin, obtained from the Department of Anatomy, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. With the help of osteometric board all type of measurement were taken and observation were recorded. The number, size, site, direction and location of nutrient foramina were observed macroscopically.

**Results:** The nutrient foramen was absent in 5.43% of humerus single in 80.86%, double in 13.42% and three foramen was noted in 0.29% on left side only. Majority of humerii showed medium size (1-2 mm) of nutrient foramina both on right and left sides. The maximum number of foramina was present on the antero-medial surface followed by posterior surface. Majority of foramen was present on the middle third region of the diaphysis of humerus. The direction of nutrient foramina in all the humerii was downward.

**Conclusions:** Knowledge of number, size, site, direction and location of nutrient foramina could be of interest to surgeons and clinicians who are involved in procedures such as bone grafting and surgical approach for internal fixation.

Keywords: Humerus, Diaphysis, Nutrient artery, Nutrient foramina.

#### Introduction

In modern era due to change of life style and dependency over and machines, injury and fracture of bones not uncommon. For the healing of wound and fractures blood supply play a major role. (1,2) The blood supply of long bone is derived from nutrient, periosteal, metaphyseal, and epiphyseal arteries. Diaphyseal nutrient artery of long bones is the main blood supply not only for osteal tissue but also for the bone marrow and is particularly important during its growth. (3) One or two main diaphyseal nutrient arteries enter the shaft obliquely through nutrient foramina leading into nutrient canals. Their sites of entry are almost constant and characteristically directed away from the dominant growing epiphysis. (4)

Position of nutrient foramina (NF) in mammalian bones are variable and may alter during the growth. (5) The topographical knowledge of these foramina is useful in certain operative procedures to preserve the circulation. (6-8) Knowledge of position, number and variation of nutrient foramina is an important tool which can be used in medico legal practices. The aim of this study was to record the number, size, position and situation of nutrient foramina in humerii of adults in North Indian population.

# Materials and Method

Total 350 dried, macerated, adult, North Indian human humerii of both sexes (200 of right and 150 of

left side) were taken for morphometric study of nutrient foramina from the Department of Anatomy, King George's Medical University Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. The instruments used were osteometric board, metallic calibrated wires of 0.5 mm, 1 mm, 1.5 mm, 2 mm and 2.5 mm diameter, magnifying hand lens, measuring tape, scale and divider. Photographs were taken with the digital camera. All bones were closely observed for identifying nutrient foramina with the help of hand-lens, so that small foramina would not be missed. The identification of nutrient foramen was confirmed with the help of fine wire. On the surface of bone, a groove was present adjacent to the nutrient foramen which appeared to continue into it. Size of each foramen was measured with the help of small metallic wires of different diameters. These were grouped as small (diameter less than 1mm), medium (diameter between 1-2 mm) and large (diameter more than 2 mm). The number and location of foramina were measured and recorded.

#### Observations and Results

The incidence of the number of nutrient foramina was observed and classified according to its presence or absence. The frequency of number of foramina were observed from one to three. The nutrient foramina were absent in 19 (5.43%) humerus. The incidence of single nutrient foramen was highest which was seen in 283 (80.86%) bones (Fig. 1a). Two nutrient foramina were

observed in 47 (13.42%) cases (Fig. 1b). The number of nutrient foramina was three only in 1 (0.29%) boneon left side while none of the humerus of right side showed 3 nutrient foramina (Table 1).

Table 1: Incidence of no. of nutrient foramina in humerus

numer us					
Side &	Incidence of no. of nutrient foramina (%)				
no.(n) of	Absent	One	Two	Three	
bones	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Right	13	155	32 (16%)	0 (0%)	
(n=200)	(6.5%)	(77.5%)			
Left	6 (4%)	128	15 (10%)	1	
(n=150)		(85.33%)		(0.67%)	
Total	19	283	47	01	
(350)	(5.43%)	(80.86%)	(13.42%)	(0.29%)	



Fig. 1

The incidence of various sizes of nutrient foramina was classified according to their diameter under three groups i.e. small (<1mm), medium (1-2mm) and large (>2mm). Out of total 380 nutrient foramina (219 right and 161 left sided), incidence of small size foramina was 21.84% (n=83), medium size 74.21% (n=282) and only 3.95% (n=15) foramina were of large size (Table 2)

Table 2: Incidence of size of nutrient foramina in humerus

numer us				
Side of bone & total no.	Incidence of size of nutrient foramina (%)			
of foramina (n)	Small (<1mm)	Medium (1- 2mm)	Large (>2mm)	
Right (n=219)	44 (20.09%)	167 (76.25%)	8 (3.65%)	
Left (n=161)	39 (24.22%)	115 (71.43%)	7 (4.35%)	
Total (380)	83 (21.84%)	282 (74.21%)	15 (3.95%)	

The site of nutrient foramina in relation to different surfaces of humerus (200 right and 150 left) was observed and it was seen that they were present on the antero-medial, antero-lateral and posterior surface. Out of total 380 nutrient foramina (219 right and 161 left sided), incidence of 84.74% (n=322) was seen on antero-medial surface followed by 12.11% (n=46) on

posterior surface and 3.16% (n=12) on antero-lateral surface(Table 3).

Table 3: Situation of nutrient foramina in relation to different surfaces of humerus

Side of	Total no. of bones	Total no. of foramina	Position of foramina		
bone			Antero- medial Surface	Posterior Surface	Antero- lateral Surface
Right	200	219	188 (85.84%)	26 (11.87%)	5 (2.28%)
Left	150	161	134 (83.23%)	20 (12.42%)	7 (4.34%)
Total	350	380	322 (84.74%)	46 (12.11%)	12 (3.16%)

The site of nutrient foramina in relation to different parts of shaft of humerus was also describe die on proximal one-third, middle one-third and distal one-third. Out of total 380 nutrient foramina (219 right and 161 left sided), maximum number (n=371) was observed in middle one-third of shaft i.e. 97.63%, followed by 1.84% (n=7) on distal one-third and only 0.53% (n=2) foramina were present in proximal one third part (Table 4).

Table 4: Site of nutrient foramina in relation to different parts of humerus

unterent parts of numerus					
Side	Total	Total no.	Situation of foramen		
of bone	no. of bones	of foramina	Proximal 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> of bone	Middle 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> of bone	Distal 1/3 <sup>rd</sup> of bone
Right	200	219	2 (0.91%)	212 (96.80%)	5 (2.28%)
Left	150	161	0 (0%)	159 (98.76%)	2 (1.24%)
Total	350	380	2 (0.53%)	371 (97.63%)	7 (1.84%)

#### Discussion

During the active growth of long bones the nutrient artery is a principle source of blood. Berard(1835) was the first to correlate the direction of nutrient canal with the mode of ossification and growth of bone. <sup>(9)</sup> The humerus also received blood supply from other sources like metaphyseal and periosteal arteries which are branches of axillary and brachial artery. The periosteal and the metaphyseal arteries supply the outer cortex and the metaphysis of the bone, but the inner half of the cortex and the medulla of the shaft are predominantly dependent on the nutrient artery. The study on the blood supply of the shaft will help in knowing about the healing of fractures, delayed unions and non-unions of the bone following fractures and bone transplants. <sup>(10)</sup>

The incidence of single nutrient foramen ranged from 63% to 93% in different studies. (2,6,11-20) Our finding of 80.86% is approximately same as that of Manjunath & Pramod (2011) who reported in 80.5% cases. (19) The range of occurrence of double foramen

was found to be 7% to 42%. (2,6,11,17-20) It was 13.42% in the present study which correlated well again with the study of Manjunath & Pramod (2011) who reported in 17.5% cases. (19) According to Kizilkanat, (16) frequency of three foramina in the humerus did not more than 1-7%. (11,17-20) But in our study this was observed only in 0.29% specimen. On the other hand Kizilkanat (2007) also reported the presence of four nutrient foramina in 1% of the humerii studied. (16) This was not observed in the present study. Moreover, the absence of nutrient foramina was also reported in 5.43% humerii in the present study which correlated well with other studies. (12,14,16,21) In these cases bone received blood supply from periosteal vessels.

The size of nutrient foramen was categorized into small (<1mm), medium (1-2mm) and large (>2mm). 21.84% of humerii showed small, 74.21% exhibited medium while only 3.95% have large foramen in the present study. The sizes of the foramina ranged from 0.45 to 1.2 mm with average of 0.828 mm 0.26 in a study conducted in Tamilnadu (South India) by Chandrasekaran and Shanthi (2013). These results are nearly similar with the present study. These findings are important for the clinicians who are involved in bone graft surgical procedures.

The situation of nutrient foramen in relation to different surfaces of humerus was also noted. The maximum number of foramen was found on anteromedial surface (84.74%) followed by posterior surface and antero-lateral surface. The findings of present study are in consensus with those of Chandrasekaran and Shanthi (2013). Predominant location of nutrient foramen on the anteromedial surface was also stated by many previous studies. (2,11,13,16,17,22)

Nutrient foramina were also classified according to their situation in relation to different parts of humerus. Maximum number were located on the middle 1/3rd of humerus (97.63%) followed by distal 1/3rd and proximal 1/3<sup>rd</sup>. The findings are in agreement with that of Chandrasekaran and Shanthi (2013)<sup>(18)</sup> but they didn't found any foramen in the proximal 1/3<sup>rd</sup> part. In some previous studies position of nutrient foramina found in the middle third of the bone. (18) Carroll stated that the nutrient artery enters through the restricted antero-medial surface, in the middle 1/3rd of the humerus and that the surgeries which are done on the middle 1/3rd of the shaft of the humerus should be handled well without causing damage to the nutrient foramen, in order to prevent delayed unions or nonunions of the fractures. (17)

Location of nutrient foramen is important for surgeons, as injury to nutrient artery in growing bones can lead to necrosis of bone and delay inn growth. The data obtained from the present study would be of interest to clinicians who are involved in procedures such as bone grafting and surgical approach for internal fixation. For the healing of any wound or fracture blood supply play a major role. (1,23) Any damage to the

nutrient artery during surgical procedures or subsequent manipulations is a significant factor which may lead to delayed unions or non-unions. (9,10)

In general it was described that the vessel which invades ossifying cartilage are nutrient vessels and site is nutrient foramen, so nutrient foramen is actual site of ossification centre.<sup>(24)</sup>

The direction of nutrient foramina were determined by growing end of in a typical long bone and it was supposed that growth of growing end about twice fast than other end. (6) The growth of two ends and remodeling may affect position of nutrient foramina. (5) Nagel (1993) described the risks for intra-operative injury to the nutrient artery during its exposure. It was described that the knowledge about these foramina is useful in the surgical procedures to preserve the circulation. (15)

#### Conclusion

The nutrient foramina of the humerii were maximally located in the middle third followed by distal and proximal third of shaft. The location of foramen was noted on the antero-medial, antero-lateral and posterior surfaces. Most of humerii had one NF though it was observed that some cases had more than one foramina. These finding are very important for orthopedic surgeons who are involve in various surgical procedures like treatment fracture and bone grafting and equally important to clinical anatomists and morphologist.

# Acknowledgement

We are grateful to our head of the department and all the non-teaching staff members for their help and cooperation.

### References

- Coolbough CC. Effect of reduced blood supply on bones. American Journal of physiology. 1952;169:26-33.
- Laing PG. The arterial supply of adult humerus. Journal of bone and joint surgery 1956;A-38:1105-1116.
- Branemark PI. Vital microscopy of bone marrow in rabbit. Scand J Clin Invest Suppl. 1959;38:1-82.
- Standring Susan. Gray's Anatomy- The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice. Functional anatomy of the muscular system. 39<sup>th</sup> edition, Elsevier Churchill Livingstone. Edinburgh, London; 2005: p 95.
- Henderson RG. The position of the nutrient foramen in growing tibia & femur of the rat. Journal of Anat. 1978;125(3):593-599.
- Mysorekar VR. Diaphyseal Nutrient Foramina in human long bones. Journal of Anat 1967;101(4):813–822.
- Taylor GI. Fibular transplantation. In Serafin D, Bunke HJ Editors.Microsurgical composite tissue transplantation. St Louis: CV Mosby co. 1979: 418-23.
- 8. McKee NH, Haw P, Vettese T. Anatomic study of nutrient foramen in the shaft of Fobula. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1984;184:141-144.
- Berard A. Archives generales de Medicine II series. 1835;7:176-183.

- Robert WA.A physiological study of blood supply of the diaphysis. J Bone Joint Surg. 1927;9:153-54.
- Joshi H, Doshi B, Malukar O. A study of nutrient foramina of humeral diaphysis. NJIRM. 2011;2(2):14-17
- Lutken P. Investigation into the position of the nutrient foramina and the direction of the vessel canals in the shafts of the humerus and femur in man. Acta anat. 1950;9(1-2):57-68.
- Forriol Campos F, Gomez Pellico I, Gianonatti Alias M, Fernandez-Valencia R. A study of the nutrient foramina in human long bones. Surg Radiol Anat 1987;9(3):251-255.
- Longia GS, Ajmani ML, Saxena SK, Thomas RJ. Study of diaphysial nutrient foramen in human long bone. Acta anat. 1980;107(4):399-406.
- 15. Nagel A. The clinical significance of the nutrient artery. Orthop Rev 1993;22(5):557–561.
- Kizilkanat E, Boyan N, Ozsahin ET, Soames R, Oguz O. Location, number and clinical significance of nutrient foramina in human long bones. Ann Anat 2007;189(1):87–95.
- 17. Carroll SE. A study of the nutrient foramina of the humeral diaphysis. J Bone Jt Surg B 1963;45:176-181.
- Chandrasekaran S and Shanthi KC. A Study on the Nutrient Foramina of Adult Humerii. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 2013;7(6):975-977.
- Manjunath SH, Pramod R. A study of nutrient foramina in dry adult humerii of south Indian subjects. National Journal of Clinical Anatomy 2011;1(2):76-80.
- Sharma M, Prashar R, Sharma T, Wadhwa A. Morphological Variations of Nutrient Foramina in upper limb long bones. Int J Med and Dent Sci 2013;2(2):177-181.
- Patake SM, Mysorekar VR. Diaphysial nutrient foramina in human metacarpals and metatarsals. J Anat 1977;124(2):299–304.
- Murlimanju BV, Prashanth KU, Prabhu LV, Saralaya VV, Pai MM, Rai R. Morphological and topographical anatomy of nutrient foramina in human upper limb long bones and their surgical importance. Rom J Morphol Embryol 2011;52(3):859–862.
- Johnson RW. A Physiological study of the Blood Supply of the Diaphysis. Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery1927:9:153.
- Payton CG. The position of the nutrient foramen and direction of the nutrient canal in the long bones of the madder-fed pig. J Anat1934; 68(4):500–510.